Excellent - 3						
Pretreatment	Diagnosis and Treatment Plan	Treatment	Post-treatment	Documentation	Complexity	
Thorough medical and dental history was obtained. There was an appropriate review of systems. Appropriate medical consultations were obtained and documented. Appropriate vital signs were recorded. Medications were documented (including rationale for prescribing, dosages, and frequency of dosing.	Complete and thorough clinical findings were recorded. Appropriate diagnostic tests were performed and the results recorded. Appropriate radiographs/images and interpretation. The pulpal and periapical (periradicular) diagnosis was correct. The treatment plan was appropriate. Alternative treatment plans were appropriate. Possible complications were considered.	Clinical procedures were performed at the highest level of skill. All essential procedures were performed and in an appropriate sequence. Pharmacological management was appropriate and justified. Treatment was modified in accordance with the medical and dental history. Application of biologic principles was demonstrated. Informed consent was obtained.	Appropriate post- treatment evaluations intervals were prescribed. The clinical examination at follow-up was complete and appropriate tests performed. Radiographs/images were appropriate and diagnostic. The tooth was adequately restored. If final restoration is not present, recommendations for final restoration are clearly noted. Issues with the treated tooth or adjacent teeth visible on the post-treatment radiographic images were clearly noted and patient informed.	The narrative was complete, thorough, and readable with correct spelling and proper grammar. The terminology used was consistent with ABE terminology If different terminology was used, an explanation was provided. Abbreviations were clearly explained. The radiographic documentation was complete and of the highest quality. Procedures were justified and explained. Any clinical photographs were of high quality and appropriate and necessary for proper documentation. The dates and treatment sequencing were accurate. Radiograph and Digital Image dates are referenced in the narrative (for example, the write-up must state in the appropriate area that a radiograph or photograph was made), and dates match the dates in the Case History Form.	Cases required the highest level of knowledge and technical skill. Cases required the highest level of patient management. If treatment consultations were required, they were clearly addressed and documented. If the treatment sequence was a critical component, the treatment sequence followed was clearly explained and documented.	

Acceptable - 2					
Pretreatment	Diagnosis and Treatment Plan	Treatment	Post-treatment	Documentation	Complexity
Minor information was omitted that does not significantly affect the treatment and prognosis.	The pulpal and periapical (periradicular) diagnosis was correct despite the fact limited diagnostic tests were performed. The radiographic examination was minimally adequate. There is missing diagnostic information that does not affect the diagnosis, treatment plan, or prognosis. The alternative treatment plans were incomplete.	Procedures were performed at a satisfactory level. The treatment sequence was not appropriate but this did not affect the treatment outcome. Minor procedural deficiencies were evident that do not compromise the outcome. Procedures were documented or demonstrated in an acceptable manner but lacked enough detail to grade as excellent.	The clinical examination and data provided was adequate but lacked enough detail to grade as excellent. Results reported were consistent with the data provided. The tooth was inadequately restored but noted in the narrative.	Minor errors are evident but do not affect the interpretation or understanding of the case. Minimal and infrequent spelling and grammatical errors.	High technical skill required. Adequate patient management. Treatment sequence important but was not critical.

		Deficient - 1					
Pretreatment	Diagnosis and Treatment Plan	Treatment	Post-treatment	Documentation	Complexity		
Incomplete medical and dental history. Insufficient information that influences the prognosis. Insufficient information that influences and/or affects the diagnosis, treatment, or prognosis. Appropriate vital signs were not repeated at subsequent visits when indicated. Radiograph and Digital Image dates are not referenced in the narrative (for example, the write-up must state that a radiograph or photograph was made in the appropriate location of the Case History template.	The clinical examination was incomplete. Appropriate diagnostic tests were not performed. Interpretation of the data/radiographs (images) was incorrect. Alternative treatment plans were not appropriate or were missing. The prognosis was inaccurate. Radiograph and Digital Image dates are not referenced in the narrative (for example, the write-up must state that a radiograph or photograph was made in the appropriate location of the Case History template.	Procedural errors were evident that may have affected the outcome. Treatment performed was not consistent with the diagnosis and treatment plan as outlined. Radiographs/images lack detail and proper interpretation. Treatment sequence adversely affects outcome. Treatment was not supported by the best available evidence. Radiographs or images lack adequate detail. Poor interpretation of radiographs or images. More explanation of procedures was needed. Unsafe or ineffective use of antibiotics.	Misinterpretation of radiographs/images. Poor quality of radiographs/images. Incomplete clinical examination. Failure to recognize the lack of an adequate permanent restoration. Outcome shows underfill, overfill or poor quality condensation. Radiograph and Digital Image dates are not referenced in the narrative (for example, the write-up must state that a radiograph or photograph was made in the appropriate location of the Case History template.	Frequent narrative errors. Poor grammar and spelling errors. Poor radiographs/ images. Processing errors. Lack of medical consultation reports when indicated. The lack of biopsy reports when indicated.	Routine diagnostic and technical difficulty requiring average skills.		

Unacceptable - 0					
Pretreatment	Diagnosis and Treatment Plan	Treatment	Post-treatment	Documentation	Complexity
The medical and dental history was not provided. Incorrect information was provided. Appropriate consultations were not obtained. Appropriate vital signs were not recorded.	No data to justify the pulpal and (periradicular) diagnosis. The pulpal and/ or (periradicular) diagnosis was incorrect. Radiographs/images were improper or of poor quality. The treatment plan was inappropriate. No informed consent.	Major procedural errors. Inappropriate treatment. Inappropriate pharmacological management. Sequence of treatment adversely affects the prognosis. Radiographs/images are of poor quality or do not demonstrate adequate treatment. Inappropriate application of biologic principles.	An appropriate clinical examination was not performed. Radiographs/images were inadequate. The radiographic interpretation was not correct. Appropriate treatment/ post-treatment evaluations recommendations were not provided.	Incomplete information. Information was presented that could not be interpreted. The narrative and/ or radiographic documentation were not representative of the case. Digital Images are not the correct size.	The knowledge and technical skills required were within the scope of the general dentist.
Errors That Resu	lt in a Failed Notebo	ok	Common Errors T	hat Should Be Avoi	ded
Required Case Categories The Portfolio did not contain all of the required cases or the cases were not presented in the correct order.		Radiographs, Digital Images Poor/Inadequate image quality. Image size should not exceed 4MB per image.			
Photograph Masking Complete masking was not done.			Cone Beam Computed Tomography Submission was not an image with adequate masking.		
Document Masking Complete masking was not done.			Non-English Language Documents English translation not included.		
One-Year Post-treatment Evaluations The one-year post-treatment evaluations requirement was not met in all of the required cases.					